

## CDAG Board Meeting Minutes – 2012

Central Delaware Advocacy Group (CDAG) – March 8, 2012  
Penn Treaty Museum 6:00PM – Recorded Attendance Attached

### I. Approval of the Minutes

Minutes of CDAG Board meeting of February 9, approved

### II. Chairman's Report – Matt Ruben

On Tuesday the Philadelphia City Planning Commission adopted the Master Plan for the Delaware River. Note: they adopted the whole plan and voted unanimously. CDAG played a big role in bringing this Plan to adoption. Various delegates who attended the PCPC meeting expressed their appreciation for the presentation by Matt to the commission. "Matt, you made us proud."

Matt also thanked John Conner and Laura for making it possible to have this meeting at Penn Treaty Park Museum.

Having recently received various significant donations from some people and organizations we wished to recognize them for their generosity. Rene created a certificate that we can give them to show our appreciation. A presentation was made to Marsha Bacal on behalf of Society Hill Towers Association.

### III. Committee Reports

#### 1. Treasurer's Report - Rene Goodwin

\_There is no change in our bank account we have \$2537.39. There will be a change in presenting a check to Joe Schiavo for buying flowers for Tom Potts whose wife recently passed away.

I finally got all the paper work together needed to change the signatories on our TD Bank account. A letter from Richard and according to Dave Hammond a prior authorized person has to accompany Matt and myself to the bank.

A letter has been sent to Mr. Greenbaum of Society Hill Towers acknowledging him for his generous contribution of \$1000.

#### 2. Secretary- Richard Wolk

Last month I sent out a notice that I needed information from each member

association: President/Chairs name, the association's address, telephone number and email address. I have received replies from two thirds of the members. Thank you. However, it is important I get this information from the others. They will receive an email reminder.

### **3. Membership Report- Laura Lanza**

This years Shad Festival will take place on the April 28<sup>th</sup> or 29<sup>th</sup>, if it rains on the 28<sup>th</sup>. At the Executive Board meeting there was a proposal to purchase a pop up tent and about getting volunteers. The tent would cost around \$125. A motion was called to approve this purchase. There was no objection and was so moved.

### **V. Recap-March 6 meeting with PCPC**

Matt asked for any questions or comments.

Q: I heard that at the meeting you announced that CDAG was united and then later in the meeting Pennsport made a presentation stating they were against it?

Rene, I would like to address that. Jim Moylan, president of Pennsport was concerned about three aspects. I am reporting this to you and not expressing pro or con. One issue of concern was that shortly before the meeting took place, a day or two, a discussion or rumor was that the plan might be separated into several sections and one of those sections would have been a dividing line at Midland St. right in the heart of Pennsport. One of the other concerns was that a fairly significant parcel of land behind Walmart had been acquired and he felt DRWC should have informed Pennsport. The third issue his was that the current zoning was waterfront and as to whether that zoning would be changed.

Honey: The way it was expressed was pretty disturbing.

Matt: for those of you who weren't there Pennsport sent a letter early in the day. Ed Kiarlin was there, I think in a Pennsport capacity, did not testify but made one brief comment as testimony was closing, but the bulk of the statement, the letter that was faxed was read into the record. The letter characterized last minute changes. The wording was general making it difficult to know exactly what he was referring to. Because of these changes they did not want PCPC to take action that day and to my recollection the only thing that was mentioned in the letter was the acquisition of the land from Walmart and that DRWC had obtained the land in a clandestine manner.

In a conversation later in the day with Moylan he had expressed those concerns already stated. I had heard on Monday that PCPC was not planning to break the Master Plan into three sections and I called Jim on that.

Q: That was before the letter was sent?

A: yes.

Rene: I do understand why Pennsport is sensitive to land changes dating back to the Foxwood situation and ask you to think about your own community and how you would react if a similar situation was done without your knowledge.

Joe: it's interesting that none of the points in the letter had anything to do with the Master Plan so I sort of feel it was an odd device to employ.

Honey: I was disturbed not by the letter but more by that verbal comment that was made after you said CDAG was united, Ed Kirlin expressed that Pennsport does not agree and CDAG is not united.

Laura: Overall it was a great win and as time goes forward there is going to be differences of opinion by members. However, when we represent CDAG at a public meeting, we put on another hat and, as a democratic organization, should All come together as one voice.

Jim: We will always have this tension between CDAG and individual communities. CDAG has been recognized as a significant partner in the development of the river. When an organization does speak in opposition to CDAG it ought to be in language in a different tone that the letter seemed to suggest.

Matt: One point needs to be stressed. If you are unhappy about something, express it. All of our communities have to think of there community first. If you have a difference with CDAG you have to let us know. If you take a position different then CDAG. You are not undermining CDAG if you say, hey this is our position. You have our respect Go do it. We understand.

## **V. Overlay meeting with Eva Gladstein/ Sarah Thorpe**

Eva could not be with us today so a few of us met with her and Sarah yesterday. Joe Schiavo presented suggestions that Rob Ketell and he worked on. Below are the suggested changes in blue and then below the draft is the discussion.

Amending Title 14 of the Philadelphia Code, entitled "Zoning and Planning" by amending section 14-507 entitled "CDO, Central Delaware River Overlay District" and by amending Section 14-203 entitled "Definitions," under certain terms and conditions,

TITLE14. ZONING AND PLANNING

\* \* \*

CHAPTER 14-200. DEFINITIONS.

\* \* \*

§14-203. Definitions.

\* \* \*

**(97.1) Dock**

A structure extending from dry land or a pier over a waterway providing access to the water and/or watercraft thereon -Docks are typically accessory to marinas.

**(234.1) Pier**

A structure supported by piles, posts, pillars, or fill extending from dry land over a waterway.

**(----) Bulkhead**

Bulkhead line of the Delaware River as amended by the Secretary of War on September 10,1940. Any discrepancies between the physical top of the bank and Bulkhead Line along the west bank of the Delaware River shall be surveyed by the District Surveyor and the Board of surveyors of the Surveyors of the Department of streets shall keep the survey on file and available for public inspection.

**(----) Pierhead line**

CHAPTER 14-500. OVERLAY ZONING DRAFT

§14-507. /CDO, Central Delaware Riverfront Overlay District.

{RESERVED}

**(1) Purpose**

The Central Delaware Riverfront Overlay District is intended to encourage and renew the connection of the city’s residents and visitors with the

Central Delaware Riverfront\_ to provide access to and views of the river, improve the river’s ecology, to preserve and promote the areas historic resources and to promote the development of walkable mixed-use neighborhoods, as consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.

**(2) District Boundaries**

The Central Delaware Riverfront Overlay District shall consist of all lots located in the area bounded by the south side of Allegheny Avenue on the north, the pierhead line of the Delaware River, as established by the Secretary of War on September 10, 1940, on the east, the north side of

Oregon Avenue on the south, and the east side of Interstate 95 on the west.

**(3) Riverview Streets**

For the purposes of this §14-507, “riverview streets” shall include the portions of the following streets that are east of Interstate 95<sup>1</sup>:

- (a) Mifflin Street and its extension to Christopher Columbus Boulevard then to the Delaware River along a line perpendicular to Christopher Columbus Boulevard.
- (b) Dickinson Street and its extension to the Delaware River.
- (c) Washington Avenue.
- (d) Christian Street.
- (e) Race Street.
- (f) Spring Garden Street.
- (g) Germantown Avenue and its extension to Delaware Avenue.
- (h) Columbia Avenue.
- (i) Berks Street and its extension to the Delaware River.
- (j) Cumberland Street and its extension to the Delaware River.
- (k) Lehigh Avenue and its extension to the Delaware River.

**(4) Prohibited Permanent Structures**

No structure shall be constructed such that it blocks the [eastward](#) extension of any of the following existing streets<sup>2</sup>:

- (a) Dickinson Street to the Delaware River;
- (b) Washington Avenue to the Delaware River;
- (c) Germantown Avenue to Delaware Avenue;
- (d) Columbia Avenue to the Delaware River;
- (e) Cumberland Street to the Delaware River; and
- (f) Lehigh Avenue to the Delaware River.

**(5) Uses**

- (a) At least one of the uses provided in §14-507(3)(b), below, shall occupy 75% of the ground floor frontage facing:
  - (.1) A riverview street, as set forth in §14-507(3), above;
  - (.2) Delaware Avenue in the area between Washington Avenue and Spring Garden Street; or

---

<sup>1</sup> includes a subset of connector streets identified by the *Master Plan for the Central Delaware* as essential for pedestrian connectivity (see p. 240-243 of the *Master Plan*).

<sup>2</sup> The purpose of this provision is to prevent the construction of structures on future planned streets. Identifies Germantown Ave, Columbia, Ave and the streets labeled as view corridors on pp. 102-116 of the *Master Plan* that have not yet been platted. Platting some streets, particularly streets that may differ from the existing street alignment, prior to effective date of the overlay may reduce the need for this provision.

(.3) The Delaware River in the area between Washington Avenue and Spring Garden Street.

(b) Required Ground Floor Uses

- (.1) Retail sales;
- (.2) Commercial services;
- (.3) Eating and drinking establishments;
- (.4) Lobbies of hotels, live theaters or cinemas;
- (.5) Libraries, elementary schools, museums, galleries, or exhibition space;
- (.6) Post offices;
- (.7) Enclosed public space, enclosed public gardens, public rooms, through-block pedestrian connections.
- (.8) entrances East Delaware Avenue or Columbus BI to public transit stations or transit concourses.

(-) Prohibited Uses

(a) The following uses shall be prohibited within the district

- (.1) Non-Accessory Signs;
  - (.2) Surface Parking Lots as a main use;
  - (.3) East of Columbus Avenue or Columbus Blvd;
- Parking \_\_\_\_\_
- Garages as a main use, except when
- approved by the
- Planning Commission as part of the approval
- of a
- Plan of Development as set forth in sub-section
- (??)
- of this section;

(Question: do Plan of Development standards, as a PCPC process, remain applicable under the new Code?

- (.4) Self-storage facilities;
- (.5) Gun Shops;
- (.6) Pawn Shops;
- (.7) Check Cashing Establishments;
- (.8) Payday Lenders;
- (.9) Drug paraphernalia stores;
- (.10) Private Bus Terminal;
- (.11) Cabarets;
- (.12) Adult book Stores;
- (.13) Adult video stores;
- (.14) Adult movie theater;
- (.15) Adult mini-motion picture theater;
- (.16) Adult modeling or Photography Studio;
- (.17) Adult Spa or Health Club;
- (.18) Adult Entertainment Store;

code; (.20) [Message businesses as regulated by the Philadelphia](#)

(.21) [Penal and Correctional Institutional \(private\);](#)

(6) **Open Area**

The minimum open area for lots greater than 5,000 sq. ft. with frontage on the Delaware River shall be 40% of the total lot area.<sup>3</sup> Any waterfront setback required by this Zoning Code shall count as open area.<sup>4</sup>

(7) **Front Yard Requirements<sup>5</sup>**

For all new construction on properties fronting on Delaware Avenue or Christopher Columbus Boulevard, front yards shall be permitted; provided, that such front yard is landscaped or accessible to pedestrians. However, in no case shall any building be constructed so that the front yard when combined with the width of the sidewalk exceeds 25 feet. Seventy-five (75)

percent of the building line shall be occupied by the façade of the principal building.

(8) **Building Height**

(a) The minimum building height shall be 25 ft.

(b) [Building height regulations inherent to underlying zoning classifications restricting building heights to less-than 100' shall govern. For all other parcels](#) the maximum building height shall be 100 ft.<sup>6</sup>, except the Commission may permit buildings exceeding 100 ft. if the Commission determines that all of the following criteria have been met:<sup>7</sup>

(.1) The lot on which the building is situated is adjacent

---

<sup>3</sup> The *Master Plan* also recommends a 30% minimum open area for non-waterfront sites (p. 221). This will be accomplished through base zoning. Residentially-zoned lots require 30% open area already and CMX-2/2.5/3

<sup>4</sup> The *Master Plan* calls for a 50' waterfront setback, measured from the top-of-bank, north of Spring Garden and south of Washington Avenue (p. 223). A setback along the entire length of the Delaware is required in a separate section §14-704(5) (Stream Buffers).

<sup>5</sup> carried over from existing overlay.

<sup>6</sup> The *Master Plan* limits building heights to 8 stories or 90 ft. (p. 224). The new code does not regulate height by stories. General rule of thumb that the code uses is 1 story = 12' to 13' height to allow for modern floor-to-ceiling heights, therefore an 8-story building could be 100 ft. tall.

<sup>7</sup> The *Master Plan* includes additional criteria, such as markers of a significant intersection or waterfront event, sustainable construction criteria, and direct contributions to infrastructure/public space, which are not appropriate for a zoning code and should be implemented through other means.

to a public park of a minimum size of three acres.

- (.2) The building will not block sunlight to a public park.
- (.3) The building will not be located within 400 ft. of an existing building that is taller than 100 ft.

**(9) Off-Street Parking**

In any Residential, Commercial, or Special Purpose district, vehicular ingress and egress is prohibited to and from rierview streets as in 14-507.

**(10) Form and Design**

Single-story buildings containing at least 10,000 sq. ft. of gross floor area of which 75 percent is occupied by a single use shall comply with the following. For the purposes of this section, intermediate floor levels such as mezzanines shall not count as a story. Where a provision of this §14-507(10) conflicts with §14-703, this §14-507(10) shall govern.<sup>8</sup>

(a) Façade articulation is required for each building façade. Between the average ground level and a height of 25 ft., a minimum of 50% of the wall area must include one or more of the following features:

- (.1) Ornamental and structural detail;
- (.2) Projections, recesses, or other variations in planes; or
- (.3) Transparent windows or other transparent glazed area.

(.2) For every 10,000 sq. ft. of building area, there shall be 500 sq. ft. of public plaza in proximity to at least one of the building entrances. Bicycle parking required by §14-804(1) (Required Bicycle Parking Spaces) shall be located in the public plaza. The public plaza(s) shall have a minimum of 1 bench and 1 tree per 250 sq. ft. of public plaza. Vehicular traffic and loading are prohibited from accessing required public plazas.

**(11) Civic Design Review<sup>9</sup>**

**(a) Applicability**

**A development that fronts on [Delaware Avenue /Christopher](#)**

---

<sup>8</sup> This is an alternative to prohibiting retail uses larger than 10,000 square feet (p. 220 of the *Master Plan*). It expands beyond the basic form and design requirements in the zoning code because it requires articulation on all 4 sides of the building and increases the percentage of articulated wall area from 30 to 50%. It also requires pedestrian plazas.

<sup>9</sup> addresses for transparency and minimum sidewalk widths recommended on p. 227 of the *Master Plan*.

Columbus Boulevard. The Delaware Riverfront or a riverview street, as set forth in 14-507(3), above, and is subject to civic design review under § 14-305(4)(b), the Civic Design Review Committee shall consider, in addition to the criteria set forth at §14-304(5)(f), the criteria set forth at §14-507(11)(b).

(b) Criteria

- (.1) Whether the building has transparent windows or other transparent glazed area covering at least 50% of the ground floor façade.
- (.2) Whether the sidewalks widths on both sides of a riverview street are consistent with the *Philadelphia Pedestrian and Bicycle Plan*<sup>10</sup>.
- (.3) Whether the development plan adequately accommodates the goals and standards of the recreational trail.

CHAPTER 14-700. DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS.

\* \* \*

§14-704. Open Space and Natural Resources.

\* \* \*

(5) Stream Buffers

\* \* \*

(b) General Standards

- \* \* \*
- (.2) The following activities and uses are prohibited within the stream buffer:
    - (.a) Permanent or temporary structures, except for **docks, piers, and** structures accessory to public open space.

**VI. Comments on the Overlay Suggestions- Joe Schiavo**

The *Master Plan* calls for 15 ft. sidewalks on all riverview streets, however sidewalks may not be able to be accommodated on all streets. Instead, the overlay references the *Philadelphia Pedestrian Bicycle Plan* which takes into account street widths.

Definitions: We were asking them to add pier-head line to the definitions. Originally, erroneously circulated for comment as “bulkhead”. They suggest that the pier-head line reaches further into the river than bulkhead. Bulkhead would be where the land ends. So they are going to be using pier-head within the text of the ordinance. They will also look in to whether the riparian line should be included in the definitions.

Purpose: They seemed somewhat accepting of the added words we used to define purpose. They also felt “economic development” should be added to the purpose section.

District Boundaries: No changes.

Riverview streets: They are changing this to “river access streets.” It’s more descriptive and avoids conflict with other sections of the new zoning code.

Prohibited Permanent Structures: We added “eastward” to the definition so that there would be no blockage to the river access streets. They agreed to that clarification.

Uses: One use that was not accepted for ground floor use was elementary schools for two reasons. Would not be incorporated in other ground floor uses and would also have a lot of blank exterior walls. In point 7 of ground floor uses we were proposing adding enclosed “public” gardens and through-block “pedestrian” connections for clarification. They were very excepting of both.

The big one we were recommending was an added sub section of Prohibited Uses brought over from the current Overlay. How did they act to that? Not well. Generally they hope this list wouldn’t be needed in an Overlay because it would be dealt with under zoning classifications in the district. However, it is an unusually diverse district. Normally, a community would usually be of like mind when it comes to zoning classification. It’s very different and diverse along the length of the Central Delaware. We are asking them to really take this list into consideration. They said they would take that into consideration with all already regulated uses, such as massage parlors, etc. they will look at the industrial parcels to see if any of these need to stay in. Their main goal is to avoid redundancy in the Code.

Asked and answered: The Plan of development standards, as a PCPC process, goes away when the new code is in place. Going back over the suggested prohibited uses, if there was one, I would really want to see regulated it would be Non-accessory signs. We discussed that and I can-not say I got the traction I wanted. It’s not yet off the table. So there is no liability here until August 22<sup>nd</sup>.

Open Area: I asked to insert into this clause: Paved parking areas should not be counted as open area. Seems like a reasonable request. But in the new Zoning Code parking areas do count as open areas. Since they are calling for open

space of greater proportion along the Central Delaware than anywhere else in the new Zoning code they were not sure they could support our position that paved parking would not be part of open area. Q: What would prevent a developer from using the whole space? They feel there is Civic Design Review and also oversight. Therefore these issues may have to be dealt with as they come up.

Front Yard requirements: We felt that there was a word missing in describing the lot being occupied by the structure. So instead of 75 % of the building line we suggested changing the word building to "front lot". They agreed to that.

Building Height: We suggested that the first sentence of this clause should be: "Building height regulations inherent to underlying zoning classifications restricting building heights to less than 100' shall govern. For all other parcels". We wanted it to be clear that parcels subject to zoning buildings to be less than 100' would not have the benefit of the 100' height 6 rule applicable at other parcels. They certainly agreed with that.

Civic Design Review: sub-section Applicability, we suggested that instead of saying "For any development that fronts on a riverview street," we should instead say "A development that fronts on Delaware Avenue/Christopher Columbus boulevard the Delaware Riverfront or a river view street."

We are implying the need for Civic Design Review not only on riverview-street but also on Delaware Ave./Columbus Blvd and on the riverfront. So they asked if we were asking for a design review on every building along the river. No, only those of a certain size.

Criteria: We asked to add point (.3) whether the development plan adequately accommodates the goals and standards of the recreational trail. Just because you have the 50' setback it does not clarify the needs for a continuous trail. They, at first, disagreed. But as we discussed it further there was an acceptance to include this clause.

The thing that bothered me was they stated that the 50' set back required by the code could be public or private and if it was private the public could not access it. Wouldn't that effect the trail? That's why it's important to have this clause. Although potentially with Civic Design Review you can have the opportunity to overcome the problem.

Their goal is to get this overlay to Council in May and be out of Council by June 15<sup>th</sup> -so when Aug. 22<sup>nd</sup> around it will be ready.

A discussion then occurred among CDAG members with the conclusion that non-accessory signs was of major concern and one in which we should do everything we can to prohibit them from the Overlay area. Also the importance of

building the trail was discussed in brief but due to the time constraints it was set aside to be fully discussed at our next meeting. Even if administrations change we will always have the Master Plan to fall back on. Joe asked and received Board permission to send these revisions to the commission with an expectation that regardless of who has a set back we have expectations of a contiguous trail.

## **VI. Signage**

The main issue for us is, do we want non-accessory signage along the Delaware River? It seems to me we have already discussed this and the answer is we do not and would want a ban on such signage.

Rob suggested that the new Zoning regulations prevent signage from being less than 660' from certain situations and the Delaware River should be added to

–

those restricted areas. He was asked and accepted the task of gathering the information on signage regulations and emailing the information to the members.

## **VII. Old Business**

Honey: Did you receive the email I sent today? Should I go on with this social event? There seems to be a lot of interest. Because its pot luck the cost will be negligible The cost of renting space will be about \$300 if we use Old Swedes. Diane suggested it's on the river and before or after the event people can go look at Washington Green. I've put out some dates and I can wait to get responses by email.

Matt suggested we have three months of work and to make sure it get's done. I'm wondering if the time to come together would be after June 15th. When we could say: now we can celebrate. So maybe we want to revisit this next month or maybe in May. Honey acknowledged that would be fine with her but would eliminate Old Swedes as a venue for lack of air conditioning. Old Pine Rec. Center does have air conditioning and we can bring this up later as suggested

## **VIII. New Business**

Rene: In line with Washington Green I have to note that Saturday is a clean up day and also there is a prestigious group who is trying to arrange a tour along the the trail. Last year Harris Steinberg ran a tour and I think this one could be a big deal. It has been suggested that we hold a clean up day for the civics along the river trail on the same day. However it was several communities do cleanup on different days due to working with and scheduling a day for the Sanitation Department to participate.

Jim: speaking of clean up we might think of cleaning the trail. The other thing I wanted to say is are we going to have a strategy as to the Overlay.

Matt: Not tonight but yes we have to.

Matt Karp is meeting with Core One Realty. They are proposing to build condos in existing buildings where the Grey Hound Bus Terminal was. There was a flyer circulating about building north of that area. It called for a theme Hotel. Nobody knows what that is about but the condo developer is to meet with the Fishtown Zoning Committee. The flyer may be no more than an investor scam. The developer says he did not put this out.

**Meeting called for adjournment at 7: 33 PM**

**Next regularly scheduled CDAG Board meeting is scheduled for**  
**April 12, 2012**  
**NLNA at 8:00 AM**

**CDAG Board Member Organizations Roll Call:**

	CDAG Board Member Organizations (N/V = non-voting Board Members)	Representatives Primary & Alternate	Present (X)	Quorum Count
N / V	Delaware River City Corp.	Tom Branigan (P)	N/V	N/V
1	Dickinson Narrows Civic Assoc.	Jeff Wiesner (P)	X	1
	Dickinson Narrows Civic Assoc.	Alan Sabel (A)	X	
2	Fishtown Neighbors Assoc.	Micah Hanson (P) HHHHHHHHhHanson Hanson (P)		
	Fishtown Neighbors Assoc.	Matt Karp (A)	X	2
N / V	Franklin Bridge N. Neighbors	(P)	N/V	N/V
3	Neighbors Allied Best Waterfront	Mary Stumpf (P)		
	Neighbors Allied Best Riverfront	Dianne Mayer (A)	X	3

4	New Kensington Development Corp.	Sandy Saltzman (P)	X	4
	New Kensington Development Corp.	Carla Castillo (A)	X	-
5	Northern Liberties Neighbors Assoc	Matt Ruben (P)	X	5
	Northern Liberties Neighbors Assoc	Ira Upin		
6	Old City Civic Association	Joe Schiavo (P)	X	6
	Old City Civic Association	Rob Kettell (A)	X	-
7	Old Swedes Court Homeowners Assoc.	Honey Pertnoy (P)	X	7
	Old Swedes Court Homeowners Assoc.	Bob Clark (A)	X	-
8	Olde Richmond Homeowners Association	Phil Stolfus (P)		
	Olde Richmond Homeowners Association	Travis Hanmer (A)		
9	Pennsport Civic Association	Tom Otto (P)		
	Pennsport Civic Association	Jim Moylan		
10	Penn Future	----- (P)		
	Penn Future	Christine Knapp (A)		
11	Pennsylvania Environmental Council	Patrick Starr (P)	X	8
	Pennsylvania Environmental Council	Chuck Knolls (A)	X	
12	Pennsylvania Horticultural Society	Jennifer Mahar (P)		
	Pennsylvania Horticultural Society	Tammy DeMent (A)		
13	Port Richmond on Patrol & Civic Assoc.	Laura Lanza (P)	X	9
	Port Richmond on Patrol & Civic Assoc.	Jeremy LaCompte (A)		
14	Queen Village Neighbors Association	Richard Wolk (P)	X	10
	Queen Village Neighbors Association	Jeff Hornstein (A)	X	-
15	River's Edge Community Association	John Scorsone (P)	X	11

	River's Edge Community Association	Rich Stange (A)		
1 6	Society Hill Civic Association	Steven Weixler (P)		
	Society Hill Civic Association	Laura Saltzom (A)		<u>11</u>
1 7	Society Hill Towers Homeowners Assoc.	Marsha Bacal (P)	X	12
	Society Hill Towers Homeowners Assoc.	Jim Moss (A)	X	
1 8	South St. Head House Business District	Krystal Souvanlasy(P)	X	<u>13</u>
	South St. Head House Business District	Joette Adams		
1 9	Whitman Council	Rich Lazar (P)	X	14
	Whitman Council	Mark Kapzcynski (A)		

(\* 10 voting Board members required for a meeting quorum)

**Total: 14 Voting**

**Friends and Guests of CDAG Present:**

Rene Goodwin, Treasurer  
Kathy Rush, Pres., OSCHA  
Kelly Patrick Gates, PlanPhilly

